

Decision:

Team 2 (op) wins because even though hydro is more efficient, wind appeals to more people and doesn't kill habitats as much

Feedback:

Both teams didnt expand on their points

Repeating isnt necessary, expanding is better

Not very much expanding only rebutting

Didn't talk about why u won just give extra rebuttals

Notes:

Gov(hydro):

Easy to access in canada

60% of lakes in canada

Jobs for canadians

Easy to access

Clean

Safe

Pre emptive rebuttals:

Not always windy compared to water

It's noise pollution

RIP berd

Bad for the economy (high cost low return)

Very specific placement

---

Hydropower can always be on

Rebuttals:

Point 1;They arent good for farmland because animals will be confuzzled and shadows will kill the plants

Noise pollution about wind turbines

Point 2 and 1;They arent efficient and stuff because it takes up space and resources

We also create jobs as well as well as not take up space

---

;Wind turbines also need to be in specific places so that point doesnt stand

;a turbine might be cheaper but the dams are more efficient in terms of space

Op:

Efficient for land space (windmills take up space, but the turbines and stuff are efficient while they can also be put on top of farms saving space)

Wind energy employs a lot of people

Safer to build and repair

---

Rebuttals:

Hydropower has flaws: messes with habitats, many hydro power dams need to be on places which kill native american hunting spaces or sacred rivers

;Significantly more things need to be brought in and can displace people

;Hydro is more expensive to build costing more per killowatt

;Water isn't constant and can fluctuate with the tides

;hydro isn't clean due to the waste created while building it

;dams kill habitats

POI:

Indigenous also stay on praries and stuff which can also be killed; it is true but they can be built anywhere compared to rivers

---

Safer to build and repair, better to live around, much less expensive, they ruin the ecosystem